

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/828,313	04/21/2004	Tai-Hua Chen	CHEN3659/EM	4224
23364	7590 11/21/2006		EXAM	INER
BACON & T	ΓHOMAS, PLLC		LEE, CL	OUD K
625 SLATER FOURTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314		3753	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/828,313	CHEN, TAI-HUA	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cloud K. Lee	3753	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (its, cause the application to become	IUNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/19. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final.	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Applicati	on Papers			
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The state of the State	☑ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in altion is required if the drawing if the	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ . a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have l u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. In Application No Deen received in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date se of Informal Patent Application r:	

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

The *affidavit* under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 9/19/06 is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-7 based upon 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 7 recites the limitation "wherein pressure inside the inflated bladder pushes both the upper film and the lower film to urge against an inner surface of the upper sheet for blocking air from leaving the bladder". The upper film and the lower film cannot urge against an inner surface of the upper sheet because both sides of the upper film and the lower film are under the same pressure.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-7 are vague and indefinite because claim 1 recites the limitation "a valve mechanism mounted in a plurality of bladders of an air packing bag..." and claim 6 recites the limitation "the valve mechanism is extended in a mouth of each bladder". One of ordinary skill in the art would not be apprised of the metes and bounds of claim 1 in combination with claim 6. Is the valve mechanism mounted in a plurality of bladders as suggested in claim 1 or are these valve mechanisms, one each mounted in each bladder as suggested by claim 6?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 5-6 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garcia (US Patent No. 6,015,601).

Garcia discloses a valve mechanism for balloon comprising an upper film (20), lower film (21), a plurality of spaced heat-proof members (25) sandwiched between the upper film and the lower file (see figure 7) wherein the spacing and the length of the heat-proof members

correspond to the quantity of the bladders (80) (also see figure 7) wherein the valve mechanism is extended in a mouth of the bladder (see figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-3 and 5-6 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaszai (US Patent No. 5,826,723) in view of Garcia (US Patent No. 6,015,601).

Jaszai discloses a valve mechanism for balloon comprising an upper film, lower film (3 is both upper and lower films), wherein the valve mechanism is extended in a mouth of the bladder (see figure 1 and 2), wherein the upper film and the lower film have a thickness from 30um to 35um (see Col 4 lines 31-33), wherein the valve mechanism is disposed between an upper sheet and a lower sheet of the air packing bag (see figure 2). Jaszai fails to disclose a plurality of spaced heat-proof members sandwiched between the upper film and the lower file wherein the spacing and the length of the heat-proof members correspond to the quantity of the bladders.

Garcia discloses a plurality of spaced heat-proof members (25) sandwiched between the upper film and the lower file wherein the spacing and the length of the heat-proof members

correspond to the quantity of the bladders (see figure 7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a plurality of spaced heat-proof members in order to create a central passage way of the valve between the two heat-sealed side edges as taught by Garcia (See Col 5 lines 41-44, Col 8 lines 54-56, Col 10 lines 1-6).

9. Claim 4 (as understood) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia in view of Cope (US Patent No. 4,877,334).

Garcia fails to disclose the upper film and the lower film are formed of PE.

Cope discloses the sheets which form the package are formed of polyethylene or polyester (see Col 3 lines 58-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the upper film and the lower film are formed of PE in order to provide a better gas barrier as taught by Cope (See Col 3 lines 58-65).

10. Claim 7 (as understood) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia (US Patent No. 6,015,601) in view of McGrath (US Patent No. 4,983,138).

Garcia fails to disclose the pressure inside the inflated bladder pushes both the upper film and the lower film to urge against an inner surface of the upper sheet for blocking air from leaving the bladder.

McGrath discloses the pressure inside the inflated bladder pushes film to urge against an inner surface of the upper sheet for blocking air from leaving the bladder (see figure 10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Application/Control Number: 10/828,313 Page 6

Art Unit: 3753

made to have provided a film to urge against an inner surface of the upper sheet in order to block the air from leaving the bladder (see Col 5 lines 7-14).

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. De Luca et al (US Patent No. 6,761,960) discloses an inflatable cushion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cloud K. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-7206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571)272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,313

Art Unit: 3753

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CL

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700